

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,511	10/20/2000	Joel E. Short	42253/205408	7936
826	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			DUONG, THOMAS	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		2 1000	2145	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4/(·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Common me	09/693,511	SHORT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas Duong	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprehension for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-11, 13-16, 18, and 20-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-11, 13-16, 18, and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers		•			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
- Amendment received December 9, 2004 has been entered into record. Claims 1, 3-11, 2. 13-16, 18, and 20-23 remain pending.

Response to Amendment

3. This office action is in response to the applicants Amendment filed on December 9, 2004. Applicant amended claims 1, 4, 7-8, and 10-11. Claims 1, 3-11, 13-16, 18, and 20-23 are presented for further consideration and examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2145

Page 3

- 5. <u>Claims 1, 3-5, 7-11, 13-14, 16, 18, and 20-23</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merchant et al. (US006775290B1), in view of Rijhsinghani et al. (US006526052B1), and further in view of Denning et al. (Location-Based Authentication: Grounding Cyberspace for Better Security; copy right 1996; pages 1-6).
- 6. With regard to *claims 1, 7, and 18*, Merchant discloses,
 - a processor that communicates with an access concentrator to receive a plurality of port identifiers assigned by the access concentrator wherein each port identifier is associated with a location-specific connection port that provides connection for one or more hosts, the processor further determines which of the location-specific connection ports are currently accessing the network-by associating each of the received port identifiers with a location-specific connection port; and (Merchant, col.1, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57)
 Merchant teaches of "storing VLAN data indicating a plurality of VLAN identifiers corresponding to the multiple VLANs supported by the port" and determining the active connections by comparing "the VLAN identifier of a data packet received via the port ... with the plurality of VLAN identifiers ... [of] the stored VLAN data" (Merchant, col.1, lines 54-59).

However, Merchant does not explicitly disclose,

 port identifiers assigned by the access concentrator wherein each port identifier is associated with a location-specific connection port that provides connection for one or more hosts

Rijhsinghani teaches,

Art Unit: 2145

port identifiers assigned by the access concentrator wherein each port identifier
is associated with a location-specific connection port that provides connection for
one or more hosts (Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 – col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 37-58;
fig.5)

Page 4

Rijhsinghani teaches of switches (270', 275', 280') that are capable of "[determining] the appropriate VLAN tag to add to the communication before transmission via the trunk port to the high speed LAN backbone or trunk 265" (Rijhsinghani, col.9, lines 39-42) and ultimately through the "trunk station 285 [which] may, for example, be a network server or other network resource to which some or all of the members of LANs may require high speed access from time to time or on a continuous basis as is known in the art" (Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 – col.8, line 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Rijhsinghani reference with Merchant reference to "increase the flexibility of network switching ... [by] providing a network switch that enables each switch port to support connections with members of multiple VLANs" (Rijhsinghani, col.1, lines 45-49). In addition, Merchant mentions switches using VLAN and VLAN identifiers; and it is well known in the networking art that VLAN consists of groups of hosts that are on physically different segments but that communicate as though they were on the same wire by using VLAN identifiers. However, Merchant and Rijhsinghani do not explicitly disclose,

 a database associated with the network gateway device that stores the locationspecific connection ports for the purpose of identifying one or more hosts

Art Unit: 2145

associated with the connection port that have been granted network authorization.

Denning teaches,

 a database associated with the network gateway device that stores the locationspecific connection ports for the purpose of identifying one or more hosts associated with the connection port that have been granted network authorization. (Denning, pg.2, para.2).

Denning teaches of "[determining] whether a person is attempting to log in from an approved location, e.g., a user's office building or home" (Denning, pg.2, para.2, lines 3-4). Denning also suggests that using "the login location ... to identify the place of login as well as to authenticate it" (Denning, pg.2, para.2, lines 6-8). Both Merchant and Rijhsinghani include databases or tables for storing the VLAN data, which ultimately maps the VLAN identifier to the connecting VLAN port.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Denning reference with Merchant and Rijhsinghani references to "increase the flexibility of network switching ... [by] providing a network switch that enables each switch port to support connections with members of multiple VLANs" (Rijhsinghani, col.1, lines 45-49) and to use "the login location ... to identify the place of login as well as to authenticate it" (Denning, pg.2, para.2, lines 6-8). In addition, according to Denning, the "use of geodetic location can supplement or complement other methods of authentication" and that "its value added is a high level of assurance against intrusion from any unapproved location

Application/Control Number: 09/693,511 Page 6

Art Unit: 2145

regardless of whether the other methods have been compromised" (Denning, pg.2, para.6)

- 7. With regard to *claim 3*, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,
 - wherein the processor uses VLAN protocol as a communication link between the processor and the access concentrator. (Merchant, col.1, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 37-58; fig.5)
- 8. With regard to *claims 4-5*, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,
 - wherein the processor further comprises a querying agent capable of requesting transmission of the plurality of port identifiers from the associated access concentrator. (Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 49 – col.8, line 5; col.8, lines 35-41; col.9, lines 31-46)
 - wherein the querying agent uses Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)
 as the communication link between the network device and the access
 concentrator. (Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 49 col.8, line 5; col.8, lines 35-41; col.9,
 lines 31-46)
- 9. With regard to claims 8-11, 13 and 16, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,
 - wherein identifying the location-specific, connection port of each of the hosts at
 an access concentrator further comprises tagging the data packets being sent
 from each host with one of a plurality of port identifiers at an access concentrator.
 (Merchant, col.1, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 –

Art Unit: 2145

col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)

- wherein communicating the port identifier to a network gateway device further comprises transmitting tagged data packets to a network gateway device.
 (Merchant, col.1, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)
- wherein tagging the data packets being sent from each host with one of a plurality of port identifiers further comprises tagging the data packets being sent from each host with one of a plurality of port identifiers that corresponds to a media access control (MAC) address. (Merchant, col.1, lines 38-42, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)
- wherein tagging the data packets being sent from each host with one of a plurality of port identifiers includes implementing the use of VLAN protocol.
 (Merchant, col.1, lines 38-42, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)
- 10. With regard to *claim 14*, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,
 - wherein transmitting a port requesting query from the network gateway device further comprises transmitting a SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol) query. (Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 49 – col.8, line 5; col.8, lines 35-41; col.9, lines 31-46)

Art Unit: 2145

- 11. With regard to claims 20-23, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,
 - further comprising executing the network system application at the network gateway device. (Merchant, col.1, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)
 - wherein communicating the port identifier to a network gateway device further comprises transmitting tagged data packets to a network gateway device.
 (Merchant, col.1, lines 38-42, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5; Denning, pg.2, para.2, 6; pg.3, para.1; pg.5, para.4, 6)
 - wherein applying results of the identification to a network system application further comprises applying the identified one or more connection ports to an authorization application that provides authorization to network subscribers based on location. (Merchant, col.1, lines 38-42, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 – col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5; Denning, pg.2, para.2, 6)
 - wherein applying the results of the identification to a network system application further comprises applying the identified one or more of connection port to determine port-specific information that will be communicated to a connection port (Merchant, col.1, lines 38-42, lines 52-63; col.2, lines 48-57; Rijhsinghani, col.7, line 63 col.8, line 5; col.9, lines 24-30, lines 37-58; col.10, lines 34-43; col.11, lines 5-10; fig.5)

Art Unit: 2145

- 12. <u>Claims 6 and 15</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Merchant et al. (US006775290B1), in view of Rijhsinghani et al. (US006526052B1),

 further in view of Denning et al. (Location-Based Authentication: Grounding Cyberspace

 for Better Security; copy right 1996; pages 1-6), and further in view of
- With regard to <u>claims 6 and 15</u>, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning disclose,

 See <u>claims 4 and 13</u> rejections as detailed above.

However, Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning do not explicitly disclose,

- wherein the querying agent uses Extensible Markup Language (XML) as the communication between the network device and the access concentrator.
 Hunt teaches,
- wherein the querying agent uses Extensible Markup Language (XML) as the communication between the network device and the access concentrator. (Hunt, abstract, lines 9-14; col.15, lines 39-43; module 231 on sheet 2, fig.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Hunt reference with Merchant, Rijhsinghani, and Denning references to "increase the flexibility of network switching ... [by] providing a network switch that enables each switch port to support connections with members of multiple VLANs" (Rijhsinghani, col.1, lines 45-49) and to use "the login location ... to identify the place of login as well as to authenticate it" (Denning, pg.2, para.2, lines 6-8). In addition, according to Denning, the "use of geodetic location can supplement or complement other methods of authentication" and that "its value added is a high level of assurance against intrusion from any unapproved location regardless of whether the other methods have been compromised" (Denning, pg.2, para.6)

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 1, 3-11, 13-16, 18, and 20-23* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Van Horne et al. (US006460084B1) is related to a concentrator for use in a multiple-network system is provided. The multiple-network system contains a plurality of networks.
 - Taghadoss (US006175867B1) relates generally to computer networks and, more particularly, to computer communication with networks.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 571/272-3911. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM 4:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 571/272-6159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for regular communications and 703/872-9306 for After Final communications.

Thomas Duong (AU2145)

March 18, 2005

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700